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Blasts or explosions are the most common mechanisms of injury in modern warfare. Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a frequent consequence of exposure to such attacks. Although the management of orthopedic, integumentary, neurocognitive, and neurobehavioral sequelae in survivors of blasts has been described in the literature, less attention has been paid to the physical therapist examination and care of people with dizziness and blast-induced TBI (BITBI). Dizziness is a common clinical finding in people with BITBI; however, many US military service members who have been exposed to blasts and who are returning from Iraq and Afghanistan also complain of vertigo, gaze instability, motion intolerance, and other symptoms consistent with peripheral vestibular pathology. To date, few studies have addressed such "vestibular" complaints in service members injured by blasts. Given the demonstrated efficacy of treating the signs and symptoms associated with vestibular pathology, vestibular rehabilitation may have important implications for the successful care of service members who have been injured by blasts and who are complaining of vertigo or other symptoms consistent with vestibular pathology. In addition, there is a great need to build consensus on the clinical best practices for the assessment and management of BITBI and blast-related dizziness. The purpose of this review is to summarize the findings of clinicians and scientists conducting research on the effects of blasts with the aims of defining the scope of the problem, describing and characterizing the effects of blasts, reviewing relevant patients' characteristics and sensorimotor deficits associated with BITBI, and suggesting clinical best practices for the rehabilitation of BITBI and blast-related dizziness.
The risk of blast exposure to deployed US military service members is significant. Injury items in survivors of blasts are typically complex and characterized by multisystem involvement and various degrees of severity. Such patients may include orthopedic trauma, limb loss, visual impairments, burns, and posttraumatic stress disorder. Improvements in body armor, vehicle-hardening measures, and advances in battlefield medicine have led to dramatic reductions in mortality rates for service members. As a result, service members are surviving injuries that would have been fatal a decade ago. Conversely, many service members return home with multisystem pathology (ie, polytrauma) and significant rehabilitation needs.

Explosive attacks account for a greater percentage of casualties in current conflicts than in other recent US conflicts. Traumatic brain injury (TBI), which often results from blast exposure, has been described as the "signature injury" of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan. The Department of Defense (DOD) and the Defense Veterans Brain Injury Center estimate that as many as two thirds of service members exposed to blasts but were able to return to duty. Despite recent advances in the battlefield diagnosis of TBI, mild TBI (mTBI) is difficult to diagnose in the war zone, and the condition likely is underreported.

Although the multiple effects associated with blast injuries may inflict a wide range of pathologies, impairments, functional limitations, and disabilities in injured survivors, dizzy- ness and vertigo are common symptoms in patients with blast-induced TBI (BITBI). The medical literature includes several perspective pieces, case studies, and epidemiological studies documenting "vestibular" symptoms in patients who have been exposed to blasts. In addition to nonspecific complaints of dizziness or unsteadiness, complaints of vertigo and oscillopsia, typically recognized as being more specific to vestibular pathology, have been reported. Given the complexities of treating patients with polytrauma, it is essential that any vestibular complaints be assessed and managed in an efficient manner with the goal of providing an optimal return to activities of daily living, quality of life, and return to duty.

From this point forward, we consider "dizziness" to be an imprecise term indicating light-headedness or a feeling that one is going to fall; it is not necessarily specific to vestibular involvement. Vertigo is considered to be an illusion of movement, typically perceived as spinning, and commonly indicates vestibular pathology. Oscillopsia is the perception that objects known to be stationary are moving in the visual environment. Oscillopsia occurs during head movement in people with vestibular hypofunction, indicating inadequate gaze stabilization by the vestibulo-ocular reflex. The term "blast-induced traumatic brain injury" describes head injury sustained during an explosion as a result of 1 or more of the following effects of the blast: primary, secondary, or tertiary. This term encompasses the theoretical effects of the primary overpressure wave (primary blast effects) as well as the documented effects associated with blunt head trauma from flying debris (secondary blast effects) or from displacement of the individual (tertiary blast effects). Furthermore, it conforms to clinical management patterns in DOD and Veterans Health Administration facilities, where the majority of survivors of blasts with moderate to severe TBI receive acute care and subsequent rehabilitation.

The topic of blast injury assessment and management is timely given the conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan; however, it is not without controversy. According to the US Army Surgeon General's Task Force on TBI, there is no objective evidence in humans to support the hypothesis that a primary overpressure wave from a blast causes neuronal damage and subsequent brain injury. It is expected that current research in animal models and clinical work with survivors of blasts will yield important evidence in support of neurotrauma after blast injuries.

Clinicians serving in war zones, the DOD, and the Veterans Health Administration have contributed to the body of knowledge about blast inj...
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ries and polytrauma in the current conflicts.\r\nHowever, there remains a paucity of research related to the assessment and management of dizziness and vestibular sequelae in BITBI. With renewed attention to and education about BITBI, the rehabilitation community has an opportunity to contribute to the growing body of knowledge and to help investigate mechanisms of injury and effective recovery strategies.

The purpose of this review is to summarize the findings of clinicians and scientists conducting research on the effects of blasts with the aims of defining the scope of the problem, describing and characterizing the effects of blasts, reviewing relevant patients’ characteristics and sensorimotor deficits associated with BITBI, and suggesting clinical best practices for the rehabilitation of BITBI and blast-related dizziness.

Epidemiology of BITBI

According to the Defense Veterans Brain Injury Center, blast injuries have been responsible for over 65% of the casualties in the ongoing conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan.\r\nIn a recent study of injury patterns associated with Operation Iraqi Freedom, improvised explosive devices and mortars accounted for 78% of battle wounds treated at clinical facilities in Iraq.\r\nIt is estimated that as many as 16,000 service members have sustained blast-induced trauma.\r\nUnfortunately, the actual number of service members who have been wounded likely is larger given the difficulties associated with monitoring blast exposure in a war zone and the failure of service members to report mild concusive injuries, particularly when there are no concomitant orthopedic or soft-tissue injuries. Recent findings for a brigade combat team (N=3,973) returning from Iraq after a year-long deployment indicated that 22.8% of service members had at least one TBI confirmed by a clinician and that 88% of these were caused by blasts. In addition, the rates of comorbid dizziness (59.3%) and balance problems (25.9%) after the blasts were among the top 3 complaints of service members (headache was first), suggesting that the prevalence of blast-related dizziness (and potential vestibular involvement) may be greater than previously reported.\r\n
Much of what has been learned about the effects of blasts in the current conflicts has been obtained from the characterization of service members whose injuries were treated in polytrauma centers, such as the Walter Reed Army Medical Center and the Veterans Health Administration. Although much has been reported regarding the neuropsychiatric and orthopedic sequelae associated with blasts, considerably less attention has been paid to vestibular deficits. The incidences of dizziness, vestibular pathology, and TBI secondary to blast-induced barotrauma are unknown. In the literature on blunt (nonblast) TBI, the incidence of dizziness in patients with TBI has been reported to be as high as 80%, with the incidence of specific vestibular pathology ranging between 30% and 65%.\r\nThe characterization of blast-related dizziness is further complicated by the incredible physical and psychological stresses associated with injuries in a war zone.\r\nA survivor of a blast may have sustained not only a wide array of physical injuries but also often intense psychological trauma that can further complicate the recovery process.

In summary, the extent of blast-related dizziness and BITBI may be difficult to assess. Even by the most conservative estimates of vestibulopathy in BITBI, it is likely that thousands of people have vestibular pathology but that only a small percentage have been formally assessed or treated.

Blast Effects

A blast results when solids or liquids are rapidly converted into a gas. In this state, the gas molecules become heated and highly pressurized. The heated gas expands into the surrounding air at speeds higher than that at which light travels, compressing the air and creating a peak overpressure wave or shock wave radiating from the point of detonation.\r\nClosely following the shock wave is a blast wind that also radiates from the point of detonation. As the gas expands, the pressure drops and creates a vacuum or
The effects of the primary vestibular complaints are also comprised of conductive, sensorineural, or mixed hearing loss. In 2006, Taber et al reviewed the limited clinical findings in humans and animals exposed to a primary blast. Neuropathological changes in humans included small hemorrhages within white matter, chromatolytic changes in neurons (degeneration of Nissl bodies, an indication of neuronal damage), diffuse brain injury, and subdural hemorrhage. Mott described a few cases in which a primary blast was the proposed cause of death as a result of perivascular space enlargement, subpial hemorrhages, venous engorgement, white matter hemorrhages into the myelin sheath and perivascular spaces, and chromatolysis. Recent mTBI research in animal models suggested that the degree of injury may be more extensive than previously believed. The absence of focal axonal swelling (a neuropathological marker that is used to gauge the severity of head injury) in severe TBI may be one reason that the magnitude of mTBI is underestimated. Other findings obtained in animal models of blast injury included widespread microglial activation (indicative of neural degeneration) in the cerebellar and cerebral cortices, pineal gland involvement, and functional deficits in coordination, balance, and strength (force-generating capacity) testing. These data provide evidence of the insidious and potentially devastating cellular and functional effects of blasts.

### Table 1. Effects of Blast Injuries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Types of Injuries</th>
<th>Patterns of Injuries*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Injuries from impact or shearing from overpressure wave</td>
<td>Brain (TBI), visceral, lungs (pulmonary emboli), tympanic membrane rupture or inner ear pathology (vestibular, cochlear, or both)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>Injuries from projectiles (eg, shrapnel or debris)</td>
<td>Fractures, limb loss, TBI, soft-tissue injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary</td>
<td>Injuries from displacement of the individual by blast wind</td>
<td>TBI, limb loss, fractures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quaternary</td>
<td>Other injuries</td>
<td>Burns, crush injuries, asphyxia, exposure to toxic substances, exacerbation of chronic illness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TBI=traumatic brain injury.
Table 2. Clinical and Laboratory Tests for Vestibular Pathology in Subjects Exposed to Blasts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tests</th>
<th>Structures, Pathways, or Process Assessed</th>
<th>Applications</th>
<th>Abnormal Findings</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head impulse test&lt;sup&gt;65&lt;/sup&gt; (clinical)</td>
<td>Horizontal semicircular canals, superior branch of vestibular nerve</td>
<td>High-velocity, moderate-acceleration head rotation with subject maintaining gaze on fixed target</td>
<td>Corrective saccade to target after head rotation</td>
<td>Abnormal angular vestibulo-ocular reflex (aVOR) attributable to peripheral vestibular hypofunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronystagmography&lt;sup&gt;66&lt;/sup&gt; (laboratory)</td>
<td>Extracocular muscles, horizontal semicircular canals, superior branch of vestibular nerve</td>
<td>Exposure to aural and visual stimulation (eg, calorics, moving targets)</td>
<td>Abnormal nystagmus, abnormal eye movements</td>
<td>Abnormal 8th cranial nerve; abnormal smooth pursuit or saccades attributable to pathology within peripheral or central vestibular pathways, oculomotor pathways, or both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary chair test&lt;sup&gt;66&lt;/sup&gt; (laboratory)</td>
<td>Horizontal semicircular canals, superior branch of vestibular nerve</td>
<td>Sinusoidal rotation at frequencies of 0.01-0.64 Hz; clockwise and counterclockwise rotation at 60°/s and 240°/s</td>
<td>Abnormal nystagmus, abnormal eye movements</td>
<td>Abnormal aVOR gain or phase attributable to pathology within peripheral or central vestibular or oculomotor pathways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positional test&lt;sup&gt;66,67&lt;/sup&gt; (clinical or laboratory)</td>
<td>Semicircular canals</td>
<td>Movement of involved canal into gravity-dependent position</td>
<td>Patient-reported complaints of vertigo and pathologic nystagmus</td>
<td>Abnormal presence of otoconia in semicircular canal (ie, benign paroxysmal positional vertigo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic visual acuity test&lt;sup&gt;68&lt;/sup&gt; (clinical)</td>
<td>Horizontal semicircular canals, vestibular nerve</td>
<td>Active or passive head movement while visualizing optotype</td>
<td>Inability to identify target during head movement</td>
<td>Abnormal aVOR attributable to peripheral vestibular hypofunction, uncompensated aVOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computerized dynamic posturography,&lt;sup&gt;69&lt;/sup&gt; sensory organization test (SOT), motor control test (MCT) (clinical or laboratory)</td>
<td>Integration of multisensory input for balance</td>
<td>Challenge of balance with equipment and software under different conditions</td>
<td>SOT: inappropriate responses to inaccurate sensory inputs; MCT: delayed motor responses to unpredictable perturbations</td>
<td>SOT: age- and height-related sensory organization test variables to sway in sagittal plane; MCT: balance dysfunction and impaired reactive latencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Manager Dynamic inVision System,&lt;sup&gt;70&lt;/sup&gt; gaze stability, perception time, target acquisition, target tracking (clinical)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Horizontal semicircular canals, vestibular nerve, vestibular and oculomotor pathways</td>
<td>Head movement while visualizing letters, tracking of moving targets</td>
<td>Abnormal oculometric features compared with those of subjects who were healthy and matched for age</td>
<td>Behavioral measure suggesting cerebellar dysfunction; damage to central oculomotor pathways, vestibular pathways, or both</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> The Balance Manager Dynamic inVision System (NeuroCom International Inc, 9370 SE Lawnfield Rd, Clackamas, OR 97015) provides oculomotor and vestibular testing not available in other NeuroCom systems. Novel assessments include perception time, target acquisition, and target tracking. Gaze stability testing is provided in commercially available models such as the SMART Equi-Test System (NeuroCom International Inc). Visual testing typically is performed in a darkened room with a viewing distance of 390 cm (13 ft). Perception time is measured by calculating the time (in milliseconds) that a randomly presented target must be on the screen before accurate recognition by a subject. Target acquisition is the time (in milliseconds) required to make a saccade from the center of the screen to the new optotype position. Target tracking is the speed (in degrees per second) at which a subject can accurately track a symbol. Gaze stabilization is the speed (in degrees per second) at which a subject can move his or her head and accurately hold a target in view.<sup>70</sup>

**Patients’ Characteristics and Sensorimotor Deficits in BITBI**

To date, few reports have characterized vestibular findings in detail, and a definitive incidence of blast-related vestibular pathology has not been established.<sup>54</sup> Table 2 summarizes common clinical and laboratory measures, the structures that they assess, and how the tests should be applied. Additionally, abnormal test findings and guidelines on how such findings should be interpreted are included. For a more-detailed review of vestibular testing techniques, see Schubert and Minor<sup>27</sup> as well as the references cited in Table 2.<sup>65-70</sup>

In a retrospective review and case study, Scherer et al<sup>21</sup> reported dizziness (39%), vertigo (24%), and oscillospia (27%) in the days or weeks after blast exposure and the persis-
ence of some symptoms months after the injury. Shupak et al. described symptoms and objective hearing and vestibular findings in 50 soldier exposed to a blast. Patient-reported complaints included vertigo (60%), hearing loss (90%), tinnitus (80%), and otalgia (30%). Tympanic membrane perforation occurred at a rate of 80% (8 of 10 assessed ears). None of the soldiers had head trauma, loss of consciousness, or amnesia. Clinical and laboratory assessments were performed for all soldiers within 1 week of the incident and included tympanometry; pure-tone and speech audiometry (the auditory brain stem response was tested in 1 patient); a sinusoidal rotary chair; electroneystagmography (ENG), including measures of spontaneous, saccadic, positional, and positional nystagmus; and bithermal caloric testing. Three of the 5 patients (60%) were diagnosed with unilateral vestibular hypofunction, and 1 patient was diagnosed with BPPV. Although all of the subjects reported resolution of symptoms by their month follow-up, 2 of the 3 diagnosed with unilateral vestibular hypofunction were shown to have permanent damage to the peripheral vestibular system. That study was the first to document vestibular pathology independent of a TBI diagnosis in soldiers exposed to a blast.

In a single-subject study, Sylvia et al. documented vestibular and balance deficits in an active-duty Marine exposed to the backblast from a shoulder-launched missile system. Although the Marine experienced a brief loss of consciousness and confusion at the scene, the full effects of the blast were reportedly mitigated by a Kevlar® helmet and hearing protection and by the fact that the Marine was not directly facing the explosion. On subsequent evaluation, he reported an intense frontal headache, photophobia, nausea, tinnitus, dizziness, oscillopsia, and abnormality of gait. The results of a clinical neurological examination and a brain computed tomography examination and the integrity of the tympanic membranes were all normal. The service member was discharged 11 days after the blast exposure with a medical diagnosis of mTBI. At a 1-month follow-up, he reported dizziness, headaches, and fatigue as well as difficulty sleeping and increased irritability. Cognitive testing revealed deficits in attention, concentration, processing speed, and memory. At 6 weeks after the insult, the subject underwent a comprehensive vestibular examination (he continued to report oscillopsia and imbalance). The audiometry results were normal; however, the subject had abnormally low vestibulo-ocular reflex gains at frequencies of 0.02, 0.08, 0.32, and 0.64 Hz in a sinusoidal rotary chair assessment, refixating saccades with leftward head impulse testing, and left lateropulsion during gait testing. In aggregate, the authors reported that the findings were consistent with a chronic left peripheral vestibular lesion that was uncompensated for both dynamic vestibulospinal reflexes and the vestibulo-ocular reflex. When the Marine returned to duty, he continued to report balance-related difficulties at night, suggesting possible performance impairments that could jeopardize his safety or the safety of his team members. Interestingly, the authors reported that the results of a vestibular examination at a 4-month follow-up were normal, suggesting transient peripheral vestibular hypofunction of several months' duration. The vestibulo-ocular reflex phase (a measure of eye position relative to head position during rotary chair testing and a more persistent indicator of chronic pathology) was not reported.

Van Campen et al. performed the largest systematic evaluation of survivors of a blast to date in a 2-paper series after the Oklahoma City bombing, the first report focused on audiologic sequelae, and the second report documented vestibular sequelae. In the latter study, investigators evaluated 30 subjects with complaints of dizziness, vertigo, or imbalance over the course of 1 year using a questionnaire, ENG, and CDP. The onset of symptoms in this group was variable; 48% of subjects reported the immediate onset of dizziness on the day of the blast, and 63% reported that this symptom occurred within 48 hours afterward. Sixteen of the 24 subjects evaluated 1 year later (67%) reported troubling symptoms. The ENG findings indicated that 50% of subjects had positional nystagmus, 11% had BPPV, 7% had bithermal caloric weakness, 4% had abnormal smooth pursuit, and 4% had gaze-evoked nystagmus. The CDP results were mixed; 68% of subjects had normal function, 15% showed a vestibular pattern (difficulty standing with eyes closed/moving platform and difficulty standing with moving surround/moving plat-
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In a recent abstract, Hoffer et al\(^2\) compared dizziness in service members exposed to a blast with dizziness in service members not exposed to a blast. The investigators reported on 34 patients who had experienced a CHI without a blast component (CHI group) and 21 patients who had experienced a blast injury only (blast group). Each group provided a detailed history and underwent audiologic and vestibular testing. In the CHI group, 59% (20/34) were classified as having posttraumatic migraine-associated dizziness, 6% (2/34) were classified as having posttraumatic exercise-induced dizziness, and 35% (12/34) were classified as having posttraumatic spatial disorientation. The investigators described 2 subgroups within the blast group—a group with vertigo and a group without vertigo. Headaches and chronic unsteadiness were common in both subgroups. Another difference between the CHI and blast groups was the report of headaches and dizziness beginning during exercise in the blast group but the report of the onset of dizziness after exercise in the CHI group. Finally, the blast group had significantly more people with hearing loss and neurocognitive disorders. These data suggest that there are pathophysiologic differences between BITBI and blunt trauma-induced TBI.

In summary, the current body of literature describing the vestibular-like symptoms attributable to a blast exposure consists primarily of case studies and single-subject reports. Nonetheless, these studies and reports consistently described patients with persistent symptoms (eg, postural instability and oscillopsia) and physiologic findings characteristic of vestibular pathology (eg, positional nystagmus and asymmetry on ENG testing). Although the current body of literature on the effects of blast exposure seems to support correlations among blast exposure, vestibular pathology, and TBI, the small amount of evidence in these studies precludes inferences of causality. To date, the absence of a gold standard or at least agreement about diagnostic measures for blast-related dizziness has made definitive links among blast exposure, vestibular pathology, and TBI elusive. The rehabilitation community has an opportunity to contribute data toward a consensus on optimal diagnostic and treatment practices.

**Toward a Consensus on Clinical Best Practices**

It is important to use evidence-based diagnostic and rehabilitation strategies in the management of service members with BITBI.\(^17\) In recent years, clinicians across disciplines in the DOD and the Veterans Health Administration have significantly advanced knowledge about blast sequelae with the publication of policy reports, systems-of-care reports, editorials, and clinical case reports.\(^4,5,20,21,30,73-76\) Additionally, selected studies of the characterization and treatment of dizziness after concussive injuries in personnel on active duty and in the literature on sports-related trauma and brain injury may be relevant to the management of head trauma from secondary and tertiary blast effects.\(^25,27,77-80\) Despite this growing body of literature describing the presentation of BITBI and blast-related dizziness, there is no official consensus on how to assess blast injuries or on how to conduct the clinical examination.

The American Physical Therapy Association’s Guide to Physical Therapist Practice\(^85\) emphasizes clinical management based on a framework for assessing the level of pathology (eg, asymmetric peripheral vestibular function), impairment (eg, gaze or gait instability), functional limitations (eg, ability to perform job-related tasks), and disability (eg, inability to function in one’s chosen vocation). For the care of patients with polytrauma attributable to blast effects, this model provides a rehabilitation-focused complement to traditional medical (diagnosis-driven) or mechanism-of-injury-driven models of clinical management.\(^84\) The application of the World Health Organization’s International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF)\(^85\) expands traditional concepts of the physical therapy plan of care through an evaluation of the impact of identified deficits relative to a service member’s unique social and vocational contexts. Clinical integration of the ICF model into the assessment of dizziness in service members who have been exposed to a blast can aid rehabilitation providers in their efforts to articulate how even subtle disruptions in body structure and function can dramatically affect participation and disability.

**Physical Therapy Assessment of BITBI and Vestibular Pathology**

**History and Physical Examination**

For clinicians caring for service members who have been injured, tools such as the Military Acute Concussion Evaluation\(^15\) and the Walter Reed Army Medical Center Blast Injury Questionnaire\(^21\) may be useful in directing the initial screening and characterization of patients with blast exposure and suspected TBI or vestibular pathology. Other self-report measures, such as the Dizziness Handicap Inventory\(^86\) and the Activities-specific Balance Confidence Scale,\(^87\) provide insight into a patient’s self-perceived limitations, which may have deleterious effects on rehabilitation or social function-
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...These measures are ideally administered initially and during follow-up visits. Pierce and Hanks reported that participation in activities of daily living is one of the best predictors of quality of life in people with TBI.

The physical examination should be directed toward an assessment of function implicated in the history and systems review. The clinical assessment of symptomatic blast-exposed personnel should include measures of vestibulo-ocular reflex action, positional testing, and measures of posture and gait stability. Providers at the DOD have advocated the screening of service members who have been exposed to a blast with a series of questionnaires, audiologic measures, and clinical vestibular tests (eg, noncomputerized static and dynamic visual acuity test and head impulse test) (Figure).

The Figure is adapted from a DOD algorithm detailing the process for the care of people with TBI. It highlights critical management practices for personnel with blast injuries from the time of the injury (combat one) to the eventual discharge from rehabilitation. The Figure informs decisions about patient care by guiding appropriate provider referrals, highlighting the specific capabilities of interdisciplinary team members, and identifying assessment tools for testing people who have been exposed to blasts, and present management options for blast-related dizziness. In aggregate, the algorithm illustrates the importance of interdisciplinary cooperation for optimal diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic practices and outcomes.

Computerized behavioral measures such as the dynamic visual acuity test and CDP are widely used in rehabilitation settings to assess gaze and postural stability, respectively, in patients with blast exposure, dizziness, or TBI. Vestibular function testing (eg, rotary chair test and ENG) is also advisable in this patient population. The sensitivity of clinical gait analysis to vestibular deficits may be enhanced by the administration of a standardized measure, such as the Dynamic Gait Index or the Functional Gait Assessment. For people with suspected mTBI and cognitive deficits (in addition to dizziness), therapists should consider dual tasking in balance and gait activities to identify processing and reaction time impairments.

Because some clinicians caring for service members who have been exposed to a blast are reporting associations between physical exertion (eg, running) and the onset of symptoms (eg, headache and vertigo), current DOD guidelines recommend exertional testing in patients with TBI before a return to full duty. A service member's successful (ie, asymptomatic) completion of such testing may offer reasonable assurance that symptoms will not recur with physical stress, gauge readiness to return to work, and serve as a long-term rehabilitation goal guiding discharge from physical therapy.

Table 3 provides a concise review of vestibular impairments associated with TBI. Because the underlying pathology associated with blast-related dizziness has not been causally established in prospective studies, Table 3 shows an impairment-based approach to assessment; references for both blast-related TBI and non-blast-related TBI are cited as the foundation for current best-practice guidelines.

Impairments to Participation

Patients who have been exposed to a blast and are symptomatic may have significant barriers to participation in their unique social context. For service members with blast injuries, persistent dizziness and TBI may contribute to disability by limiting their ability to serve in a prior capacity on active duty. In the context of the ICF model, it is conceivable that a patient with severe neurologic pathology (eg, spinal cord injury or cerebrovascular accident) may have significant impairments (eg, paralysis or hemiparesis) but not necessarily have barriers at the level of participation given sufficient time and success with rehabilitation. The converse is equally conceivable for a service member with subtle impairments in the demanding context of military service. For instance, an individual who requires a high degree of gaze stability to function as an aviator or sniper may be effectively disabled by even a minor vestibular deficit. Similarly, a service member who demonstrates little objective impairment during vestibular testing but who continues to complain of motion intolerance may be ineffective or, worse, detrimental to mission performance in an operational environment.

Physical Therapy

Management of BITBI and Vestibular Pathology

Individualized vestibular physical therapy has been shown to be beneficial for survivors of blasts with vestibular symptoms. Recently, Gottshall reported that service members with blast-related balance problems demonstrated significant improvement in gaze and gait stability after 16 weeks of vestibular physical therapy. Dynamic visual acuity, target acquisition, and target tracking improved after 4 weeks. In addition, horizontal gaze stabilization test scores improved significantly after 12 weeks, and vertical gaze stabilization test scores improved significantly between 12 and 16 weeks—coinciding with patients' self-reports of resolving dizziness while running. The Dynamic Gait Index showed significant improvement at week 8 and continued improvement at week 12.
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**Blast Event/Explosion**
- Concussion suspected

**Alteration of consciousness of LOC? (91)**
  - YES?
  - NO

**Comprehensive Neurological Examination** (Triage MD)
- Imaging studies PRN (MRI or CT scan)
- Neurobehavioral/neurocognitive evaluations

**Evaluate for 12 Red Flags Guidance (91)**
1. Progressively declining level of consciousness
2. Progressively declining neurological examination
3. Pupillary asymmetry
4. Seizures
5. Repeated vomiting
6. Double vision
7. Worsening headache
8. Cannot recognize people or disoriented to place
9. Behaves unusually or seems confused and irritable
10. Slurred speech
11. Unsteady on feet
12. Weakness or numbness in arms/legs

**Immediate referral to neurology/neurosurgery (91)**

**Vestibular Laboratory Testing (ENT/Audiology)**
- Clinical evaluation (ENT)
- Audiogram
- Tympanogram/otoscopy
- Distortion product oto-acoustical emission testing
- Electrocochleogram
- (central/peripheral vestibular oculomotor function)
- Rotatory chair testing (sinusoidal and velocity steps)
- Vestibular evoked myogenic potentials (otolith function tests)

**PT Diagnosis:** blast-induced dizziness of central and/or peripheral etiology (VH) with or without TBI

**Plan of Care/PT Management**
- Initiate vestibular PT
- Canalith repositioning techniques
- Gaze and gait stability exercises
- Static and dynamic postural stability training
- Habituation training
- Vocational/military specific skills training
- Fire Arms Training Simulator
- Computer-assisted rehabilitation environment
- Formal physical fitness testing
- Management of comorbid deficits in body structure and function, barriers to participation

**Physical Therapy (Clinical Examination)**
- Patient self-report measures administered
  - Dizziness Handicap Inventory
  - Activities-specific Balance Confidence Questionnaire
  - WRAMC Blast Injury Questionnaire
- History and systems review
- Tests and measures:
  - Gait analysis
  - Clinical gait analyzers for gross function and symmetry
  - Dynamic Gait Index/functional gait assessment
  - Neuro-musculoskeletal examination
  - Cerebellar tests
  - Rapid alternating movements
  - Finger to nose/past pointing
  - Ocular motor examination (assess CN integrity and aVOR)
    - Cranial nerve III, IV, VI, VIII
    - Smooth pursuit
    - aVOR cancellation
    - Positional nystagmus testing
    - Head impulse testing
    - Head-shaking nystagmus test (administered with Frenzel lenses)
    - Positional testing
    - Dynamic visual acuity testing
    - Vase stabilization test
    - Computerized dynamic posturography
    - Sensory organization test
    - Head-shaking sensory organization test
    - Motor control test
    - Romberg/Sharpened Romberg test

**Exertional Testing Protocol (91)**
1. 65%-85% THR (220–age) using push up, step aerobic, treadmill, or upper body ergometer
2. Assess for symptoms (HA, vertigo, photophobia, balance, dizziness, nausea, tinnitus, visual changes, response to bright light/loud noise)
3. This test should be administered prior to RTD to ensure fitness in operational environments among otherwise well-compensated SMs

**Return to duty or patient may follow up PRN with delayed-onset symptoms**

**Medical management**
- Limited duty/profile x 2 weeks
- Follow up with MD (PRN)
- Appropriate referral generation

---

**Figure.**
Integrated rehabilitation management of blast-related dizziness. LOC=loss of consciousness; PRN=as needed/indicated by provider; RTD=return to duty; MRI=magnetic resonance imaging; CT=computed tomography; ENT=ear, nose, and throat (otolaryngology MD); PT=physical therapy; PCM=primary care provider; VH=vestibular hypofunction; ED=emergency department; SM=service member; TBI=traumatic brain injury; THR=target heart rate; WRAMC=Walter Reed Army Medical Center; CN=cranial nerve; aVOR=angular vestibulo-ocular reflex.
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Table 3.
Clinical Presentation of Common Vestibular Symptoms and Findings Associated With Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impairment</th>
<th>Recommended Tests 6</th>
<th>Evidence 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased motion sensitivity</td>
<td>Clinical examination, Motion Sensitivity Quotient, clinical test of sensory integration of balance</td>
<td>Abnormal posturography 77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(motion sickness)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oscillopsia or gaze instability</td>
<td>Clinical examination, computerized dynamic visual acuity</td>
<td>Abnormal computerized dynamic visual acuity in patients with acute-stage mTBI, 78 significant dizziness-related disability relative to that in subjects without mTBI 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertigo</td>
<td>Clinical examination, positional test (Dix-Halipike), electronystagmography</td>
<td>Isolated BPPV in absence of documented head injury (PBI), 6,16,51 blast-induced BPPV secondary to head trauma, 6,13 BPPV in 15% of patients with TBI, 92 BPV secondary to primary overpressure wave (PBI), 6,22 nonpositional vertigo in 5/5 service members located within 300 cm (10 ft) of blast epicenter, 123 abnormal Dix-Halipike test results (positional testing) 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posttraumatic migraine-associated dizziness</td>
<td>Clinical examination, computerized dynamic posturography, rotary chair test</td>
<td>Abnormal aVOR gain, phase, symmetry in sinusoidal rotary chair test, 25,26 abnormal high-frequency aVOR gain, 25,26 normal CDP findings 25,26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial disorientation</td>
<td>Clinical examination, computerized dynamic posturography, rotary chair test, videonystagmography</td>
<td>Abnormal CDP findings, 25,26 abnormally low vestibulo-ocular reflex gains and abnormal phase shifts during midfrequency (0.32 and 0.64 Hz) sinusoidal rotary chair test, 25,26 visual fixation abnormalities during videonystagmography 25,26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gait deficits</td>
<td>Clinical examination, motion capture test (gait laboratory)</td>
<td>Lower gait speed (acute), subnormal gait speed (chronic), conservative gait strategy with dual tasking, 79 abnormality of gait, 60 significantly reduced gait speed and stride length in subjects with TBI 92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postural instability</td>
<td>Clinical examination, computerized dynamic posturography</td>
<td>Significantly lower composite CDP scores in subjects with mTBI than in control subjects who were healthy, 75 15% of subjects who were exposed to blasts demonstrated a &quot;vestibular pattern&quot; of postural instability, that is, abnormal on conditions 5 and 6 (ie, moving force plate with eyes closed [sensory organization test condition 5] and deficits with moving force plate and moving surround [sensory organization test condition 6] 61,62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vestibular hypofunction</td>
<td>Clinical examination, caloric examination (electronystagmography), rotary chair test, computerized dynamic visual acuity</td>
<td>80% incidence of vestibular pathology in patients with TBI per caloric assessment: 7/10 had unilateral vestibular hypofunction and 1/10 had bilateral vestibular hypofunction 92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data include vestibular pathology secondary to blast exposure and TBI.
* Clinical examination included cervical range of motion, vertebral artery test, oculomotor examination, head impulse test, passive dynamic visual acuity test (noncomputerized), and Dix-Halipike test.
* mTBI=mild traumatic brain injury. BPPV=benign paroxysmal positional vertigo. PBI=primary blast injury. aVOR=angular vestibulo-ocular reflex.
* CDP=computerized dynamic posturography.
* Testing was performed for patients exposed to blasts.

Preliminary data suggested that this battery of tests may be a sensitive measure of behavioral vestibular function in patients with blast-induced head injuries.70

Vestibular physical therapy interventions commonly include gaze stability exercises to facilitate central angular vestibulo-ocular reflex gain adaptation 93; substitution exercises, which are believed to increase the recruitment of compensatory sacades to help with gaze stability 93,94; and habituation techniques (eg, the Motion Sensitivity Quotient) to mitigate the hypersensitivity to head movements that is characteristic of motion intolerance 95,96; and static and dynamic balance and gait exercises to address postural instability.97 Benign paroxysmal positional vertigo is managed with the canalith repositioning maneuver.98,99 Current reha-
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Although training devices such as the Computer-Assisted Rehabilitation Environment and the Fire Arms Training Simulator have been used to mitigate complaints of increased motion sensitivity, they are particularly effective at addressing barriers to participation because of their utility in simulating military tasks.

Conclusion

Little is known about the specific pathophysiology of blast injuries and the resultant effects on the peripheral or central vestibular system. It is not known how these processes may affect the cortical and subcortical structures responsible for motion perception, spatial orientation, equilibrium, and gait stability. Clinicians and researchers working with patients exposed to blasts must develop sensitive screening and assessment measures to identify vestibular pathology in this patient population, quantify the degree of impairment attributable to a blast, and formulate appropriate treatment strategies to ensure optimal participation and minimal disability.
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